DISCIPLINARY DECISION



Match	Clansmen at Calgary Canucks		
Competition	ALBERTA CUP		
Date of match	August 17, 2024	Match venue	Calgary Rugby Park 2
Rules to apply	Regulation 17 World Rugby Handbook;		

PARTICULARS OF OFFENCE			
Player's surname	Young	Date of birth	
Forename(s)	Adham		
Player's Club	Calgary Canucks		
Referee Name	Caleb Snee	Plea	☐ Admitted
			▼ Not Admitted
Offence	9.28 a player shall not disrespect	SELECT:	
	the authority of a match official.	▼ Red Card	
		Citing	
		Other	
		If "Other" select	ted, please specify:
Summary of	Red Card not supported.		
Sanction			

HEARING DETAILS				
Hearing date	August 20, 2024		Hearing venue	Calgary Alberta (via teams)
Chairman/DO	John McDonald			
Other Members of				
Disciplinary				
Committee				
Appearance Player			Appearance Club	
	▼ YES	NO		▼ YES □ NO
Player's	Shane Tevelin			
Representative(s)				
List of	Match Officials Sending Off Report			
documents/materials	Video footage from the match https://app.veo.co/matches/20240817-calgary-canucks-vs-			
provided to Player in	clansmen-b88d68ce/			
advance of hearing				

SUMMARY OF ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF CITING/REFEREE'S REPORT/INCIDENT FOOTAGE

Summary of the Essential Elements of the Report of Caleb Snee:

Multiple players including this player received warnings and the team had multiple warnings for Yelling, Swearing and arguing with my calls and showing complete disrespect. I award a PK for the other team and this player laid on top of the ball and refused to move and while looking at me yelled "are you fucking kidding me" at this point I escalated from a PK to a yellow card at which he continued to yell "you have to be kidding me" with the continued level of disrespect I escalated again from the Yellow card to a Red card

ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF OTHER EVIDENCE (e.g. medical reports)				

SUMMARY OF PLAYER'S EVIDENCE

Players submissions:

It is the players evidence that he stated WTF Bro to a clan player who shoved him off the ball, allegedly making contact with his head. The match official then awarded a penalty kick to the Clan. As the player retired to the goal line as a result of the penalty kick being awarded, play was again stopped and he was shown a yellow. It is his submission that he did not say anything to the Match Official. With respect to the upgrade to the Red Card it is the player submission that he said "you gotta be joking" under his breath, ostensibly as self-talk, disappointed in leaving his team disadvantaged at a critical moment in the game, and that such talk was not directed at, or toward the match official.

The Submissions of the Canucks Captain:

The Canucks Captain stated that he was told by the match official that the yellow card was given for holding up the play of the game. And not for disrespect of the match official. He further stated he did not hear words "you gotta be joking" uttered by the Player, notwithstanding he was standing nearly beside the Match Official.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as a matter of fact the Adham Young did in fact violate law 9.7(d) of the laws, wasting time. However, the sanction for the same is the award of a penalty kick. A Penalty Kick was awarded and Adham Young retired to the goal line, albeit slowly.

I am persuaded by the submissions of the Player, supported by his Captain who was near to him and to the match official at the time. I specifically find that the Temporary Suspension (Yellow Card) was not warranted for the offence of wasting time. I opine that perhaps a litany of offending within "arms reach" of the defensive goal line may have warranted sending off for persistent offending, however that was absent from the Match Official's Report.

I am further persuaded by the Players submissions, corroborated by his Captain that any words

uttered, were uttered under his breath and were not directed towards the match official, but at himself.

As a result I find that while the Yellow Card may have been warranted for persistent offending, even though it was not billed that way in the Match Officials Report, I cannot find that the Red Card was warranted under the circumstances. As a result the Red Card is dismissed.

		DECISION		
☐ Breach admitted	Proven	▼ Not Proven	Other disposal (please state)	

SANCTIONING PROCESS

ASSESSMENT OF SERIOUSNESS

Assessment of Intent – R 17.18.1(a)-(b) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
☐ Intentional ☐ Reckless
State Reasons
Nature of actions – R 17.18.1(c) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Existence of provocation – R 17.18.1(d) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Whether player retaliated – R 17.18.1(e) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Self-defence – R 17.18.1(f) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Effect on victim – R 17.18.1(g) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Effect on match – R 17.18.1(h) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Vulnerability of victim – R 17.18.1(i) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Level of participation/premeditation – R 17.18.1(j) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Conduct completed/attempted – R 17.18.1(k) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Other features of player's conduct – R 17.18.1(I) (or equivalent Tournament rule)

ASSESSMENT OF SERIOUSNESS CONTINUED Entry point Top end* Weeks/Matches Mid-range Weeks/Matches Low-end Weeks/Matches Click Here For # Click Here For # *If Top End, the JO or Panel should identify, if appropriate, an entry point between the Top End and the maximum sanction and provide the reasons for selecting this entry point, below. In making this assessment, the JO/Committee should consider World Rugby Regulations 17.18.1(a), 17.18.1(g), and 17.18.1(h) or the equivalent provisions within the Tournament Rules referred to above. Reasons for selecting Entry Point above Top End

RELEVANT OFF-FIELD MITIGATING FACTORS

Acknowledgement of guilt and timing – R	Player's disciplinary record – R 17.19.1(b) (or
17.19.1(a) (or equivalent Tournament rule)	equivalent Tournament rule)
Youth and/or inexperience of player – R 17.19.1(c)	Conduct prior to and at hearing – – R 17.19.1(d) (or
(or equivalent Tournament rule)	equivalent Tournament rule)
(or equivalent rournament rule)	equivalent roumament rule)
Remorse and timing of remorse – R 17.19.1(e) (or	Other off-field mitigation – R 17.19.1(f) (or
equivalent Tournament rule)	equivalent Tournament rule)

Number of weeks /matches deducted: 0

Summary of reason for number of weeks/matches deducted:

The player did not attend the hearing either himself or by agent, as a result the hearing was conducted in his absence. There are no relevant factors for mitigation.

ADDITIONAL RELEVANT OFF-FIELD AGGRAVATING FACTORS

Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game – R 17.20.1(a) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
Need for deterrence – R 17.20.1(b) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
The article of the ar
Any other off-field aggravating factors – R 17.20.1(c) (or equivalent Tournament rule)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Number of additional weeks/matches: 0

SANCTION

NOTE: PLAYERS ORDERED OFF OR CITED BY A CITING COMMISSIONER ARE PROVISIONALLY SUSPENDED PENDING THE HEARING OF THEIR CASE, SUCH SUSPENSION SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION WHEN SANCTIONING – R 17.12.5(f) / 17.13.7 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction	0 Weeks/Games	✓ Sending off sufficient
Sanction commences	Click to enter a date.	
Sanction concludes	Click to enter a date.	
Matches/tournaments		
included in sanction		
Costs	N/A	
	Daneis	
Signature	Date	
(DO or Chairman)	John C.W. McDonald ,CD	August 20, 2024
	•	

NOTE: Should the Player or the club wish to appeal this sanction they may do so in accordance with World Rugby Regulation 17, such appeal must be lodged with the appeal fee to the Calgary Rugby Union within **48 hours** of this decision.